

Accessibility indicators example-shop.com

Confidential report · analysed on 18 July 2026 · 6 page(s) crawled · axe-core engine (open source)

REFERENCE	OUV-RA-20260718-DEMO
VERSION	1.0
DATE OF ISSUE	18 July 2026
SCOPE	6 public page(s) of example-shop.com
RECIPIENT	Demonstration copy (anonymised)
CLASSIFICATION	Public document — anonymised sample
ISSUED BY	Ouverta — Navhera S.R.L. (3-102-967062, Costa Rica) · contact@ouverta.com

SUMMARY

What the automated analysis found

6 PAGES CRAWLED	3 FAILING RULES	1+2 CRITICAL + SERIOUS	41 OCCURRENCES FOUND
---------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Volume of automated non-conformities: **Moderate**

Findings by rule, with RGAA mapping

The RGAA column shows the criterion of the French Référentiel général d'amélioration de l'accessibilité (v4) each finding maps to, for guidance. Final qualification requires a full certified audit.

AUTOMATED FINDINGS — EXAMPLE-SHOP.COM, 18 JULY 2026

SEVERITY	FINDING	RGAA	PAGES	OCCUR.
■ Critical	Form elements must have labels label · WCAG 4.1.2	11.1 Champs de formulaire — étiquette	1/6	2
◆ Serious	Elements must meet minimum color contrast ratio thresholds color-contrast · WCAG 1.4.3	3.2 Contraste du texte	4/6	25
◆ Serious	Links must have discernible text link-name · WCAG 2.4.4, 4.1.2	6.1 Liens — intitulé explicite	6/6	14

BEYOND AUTOMATION

Points that need human review

The engine flags 2 rule(s) it cannot settle on its own ("needs review"). We list them separately, without counting them as non-conformities: this is where the human audit begins.

- color-contrast — Elements must meet minimum color contrast ratio thresholds (543 element(s) across 6 page(s))
- link-in-text-block — Links must be distinguishable without relying on color (8 element(s) across 6 page(s))

What this report is — and what it is not.

This analysis is produced by the open source axe-core engine against WCAG 2.1 A/AA criteria — the baseline of clause 9 of EN 301 549, the standard referenced by the European Accessibility Act.

Automated tests cover **roughly 30-40% of criteria**: no tool in the world detects everything, and we refuse to pretend otherwise. Keyboard navigation, reading order, media alternatives and content clarity require human evaluation.

A clean scan therefore does not equal compliance — and this report is neither a certified audit nor legal advice. Its purpose: to surface real, reproducible, fixable barriers, so that a serious compliance effort can be prioritised. No overlay is used or recommended.

NEXT

The logical next steps

1. **Fix the reproducible findings** above — most stem from templates and are fixed once for every page.
2. **Check the "needs review" points** with a targeted human review.
3. **Monitor continuously**: every release can reintroduce defects. A monthly dated report builds your evidence file.
4. **Formalise the accessibility statement** the regulation requires, based on a real assessment.

Pages analysed (6) : page 1 · page 2 · page 3 · page 4 · page 5 · page 6

Prepared by :

Nelson Navarro

Founder, Ouverta — Navhera S.R.L.

Issued on 18 July 2026

OUV-RA-20260718-DEMO · v1.0

Ouverta — a product of Navhera S.R.L. (3-102-967062, Costa Rica) · contact@ouverta.com · report generated on 18 July 2026

axe-core (MPL-2.0) · WCAG 2.1 A/AA · EN 301 549 cl. 9 · RGAA v4 (indicative)